

Letter

Chemical Constituents of Millettia speciosa

Ping Ding*, Jin-ying Qiu, Ge Ying, Lei Dai

School of Chinese Materia Medica, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou 510405, China

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history	Objective To study the chemical constituents from Millettia speciosa. Methods The
Received: March, 24, 2013	compounds were isolated and purified by silica gel, Sephadex LH-20, ODS column chromatography, and recrystallization. The structures were identified using physicochemical and spectral data. Results Thirteen compounds were isolated from <i>M. speciosa</i> and
Revised: November 19, 2013	
Accepted: July 20, 2014	identified as docosanoic acid (1), tetracosane (2), octadecane (3), hexacosanoic acid (4),
Available online:	β -sitosterol acetate (5), β -sitosterol (6), syringin (7), maackiain (8), formononetin (9),
October 28, 2014	ψ -baptigenin (10), rotundic acid (11), pedunculoside (12), and daucosterol (13). Conclusion Compounds 5, 7, and 10–12 are obtained from this plant for the first time.
DOI:	Key words
10.1016/S1674-6384(14)60051-4	ψ-baptigenin; Leguminosae; <i>Millettia speciosa</i> ; pedunculoside; rotundic acid; syringin
	© 2014 published by TIPR Press. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Millettia speciosa Champ., belonging to Leguminosae, is a sub-shrub plant commonly known as a tonic and mainly growing in Guangdong and Guangxi provinces, China. Its roots are used to treat lumbago and strengthen the bones and muscles (Editorial Board of Chinese Materia Medica in Guangdong, 1991; State Administration of Editorial Board of Chinese Materia Medica, 1999). It was widely used as an edible plant with the effect of strengthening bones and muscles in local places, such as stewed chicken or soup. Previous phytochemical studies reported that the root extract of M. speciosa contains various constituents including alkaloid, oleanane-type triterpene saponin, flavonol, and phenolic glycosides (Uchiyama et al, 2003; Wang et al, 2008; Yin et al, 2008; Yin et al, 2010; Zong et al, 2009; Zhang et al, 2011), etc. In order to know more bioactive compounds and safe use of this herbal medicine, 13 compounds were isolated from petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, and n-butanol soluble parts of ethanol extract from the roots of M. speciosa. The compounds were identified as docosanoic acid (1), tetracosane (2), octadecane (3), hexacosanoic acid (4), β -sitosterol acetate (5), β -sitosterol (6), syringin (7), maackiain (8), formononetin (9), ψ -baptigenin (10), rotundic acid (11), pedunculoside (12), and daucosterol (13). Compounds 5, 7, and 10–12 were reported for the first time in this plant. In this paper, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of these compounds.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 General

TLC was performed on silica gel GF₂₅₄ plates (Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory) and developed with 10% sulphuric acid in ethanol. Chromatography was carried out on silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory), Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia), and RP-18 gel (50 μ m, YMC, Japan) columns. Agilent 1100 Series LC/MSD Trap (USA Agilent Technologies) and Nicolet Impact 410 FT-IR Spectrometer were used; HR-ESI-MS was recorded on an APEX II spectrometer. Bruker AV–300 NMRS (Swiss, Bruker) was

^{*}Corresponding author: Ding P Tel:+86-20-3658 6905 Fax: +86-20-3658 6775 E-mail:dingpinggz@126.com Fund: Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 9151040701000037)

used. All the NMR data were obtained at room temperature (TMS as internal standard).

2.2 Plant material

The roots of *Millettia speciosa* Champ. were collected in Conghua, Guangdong province, China, in August 2010, and identified by Prof. Ping Ding. A voucher specimen was deposited at the herbarium of Research Laboratory of Chinese Medicinal Resources, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine.

2.3 Extraction and isolation

The dried sliced roots of M. speciosa (10 kg) were extracted with 95% EtOH (reflux, 2 h, three times). The combined extracts were concentrated in vacuum to yield a dark residue suspended in water and partitioned with ether, EtOAc, and n-BuOH. The ether extract (208 g) and EtOAc extract (250 g) were respectively subjected to column chromatography. The ether extract was re-chromatographed on a silica gel column eluted with gradient mixture of EtOEt-EtOAc (1:0 to 0:1) to yield compounds 1 (30 mg), 2 (32 mg), 3 (28 mg), and 4 (40 mg). The EtOAc extract was re-chromatographed on a silica gel column eluted with gradient mixture of CHCl₃-EtOAc (16:1 to 0:1) to give four fractions. Fr. 1 (3.47 g) was separated with silica gel column eluted with CHCl₃-EtOAc (1:1 to 0:1) to yield compounds 5 (53 mg) and 6 (20 mg). Fr. 2 (4.93 g) was subjected to repeated column chromatography on silica gel, eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (12:1 to 0:1) to yield compounds 7 (43 mg), 8 (48 mg), 9 (64 mg), and 10 (37 mg). Fr. 3 (5.27 g) was subjected to repeated column chromatography on silica gel, eluted with EtOAc-MeOH (20:1 to 0:1) to yield compounds 11 (56 mg), 12 (60 mg), and 13 (120 mg).

3. Results

Compound 1: white powder (CHCl₃). EI-MS and ¹H-NMR data of compound 1 were nearly identical to those of tetracosanic acid in the literature (Li *et al*, 2000). The characteristic fragment ions at m/z: 340 (M⁺), 312, 298, 284, 270, 256, 242, 228, 214, 200, 129, 115, 101,87, 73, 59, 45; ¹H-NMR δ : 0.86 (3H, t, J = 6.4Hz, CH₃), 1.27 [m, 36H, (CH₂)₁₈], 1.60 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), and 2.25 (2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz). The molecular weight of major fragment ions of compound 1 in EI-MS spectrum was 28 lower than that of tetracosanic acid in the literature (Li *et al*, 2000). Thus, compound 1 was identified as docosanoic acid.

Compound 2: white powder (CHCl₃). EI-MS data of compound 2 were nearly identical to those of tricosane in the literature (Ren et al, 2000). The characteristic fragment ions at m/z: 338 (M⁺), 85, 71, 57, 43. The molecular weight of major fragment ions of compound 2 in EI-MS spectrum was 14 higher than that of tricosane in the literature (Ren and Yang, 2000). Thus, compound 2 was identified as tetracosane.

Compound **3**: white powder (CHCl₃). EI-MS data of compound **3** were nearly identical to those of compound **2**.

The characteristic fragment ions at m/z: 254 (M⁺), 85, 71, 57, 43. The molecular weight of major fragment ions of compound **3** in EI-MS spectrum was 84 lower than that of compound **2**. Thus, compound **3** was identified as octadecane.

Compound **4**: white powder (CHCl₃). EI-MS, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data of compound **4** were nearly identical to those of tetracosanic acid in the literature (Li et al, 2000). The characteristic fragment ions at m/z: 396(M⁺), 368, 354, 342, 129, 73, 57. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 0.87(3H, t, J = 6.4 Hz, CH₃), 1.28 [m, 44H, (CH₂)₂₂], 1.63 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.30 (2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 14.1 (C-26), 22.7 (C-25), 24.7 (C-3), 31.9 (C-22), 33.7 (C-2), 178.3 (C-1). The molecular weight of major fragment ions of compound **4** in EI-MS spectrum was 28 higher than that of tetracosanic acid in the literature (Li et al, 2000). Thus, compound **4** was identified as hexacosanoic acid.

Compound 5: white powder (CHCl₃). HR-ESI-MS showed a molecular ion at m/z: 457 (M + H)⁺. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 0.67 (3H, s, CH₃-18), 1.00 (3H, s, CH₃-19), 0.91 $(3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, CH_3-21), 0.84 (3H, d, J = 7.6 Hz)$ CH₃-26), 0.81 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₃-27), 0.85 (3H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, CH₃-29), 4.60 (1H, brs, H-3), 5.36 (1H, d, J = 4.4 Hz, H-6). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 37.0 (C-1), 31.9 (C-2), 73.7 (C-3), 42.3 (C-4), 139.7 (C-5), 122.6 (C-6), 31.9 (C-7), 31.9 (C-8), 50.0 (C-9), 37.0 (C-10), 21.0 (C-11), 40.0 (C-12), 42.3 (C-13), 56.7 (C-14), 24.3 (C-15), 27.8 (C-16), 56.0 (C-17), 11.8 (C-18), 19.3 (C-19), 36.2 (C-20), 18.8 (C-21), 33.9 (C-22), 29.2 (C-23), 45.8 (C-24), 29.7 (C-25), 19.0 (C-26), 19.8 (C-27), 23.1 (C-28), 12.0 (C-29), 173.3 (Ac), 22.7 (Ac). The spectral data were consistent with those of β -sitosterol acetate. Thus, compound 5 was identified as β-sitosterol acetate by comparison of the ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data with the reported data (Wang and Zou, 2008).

Compound **6**: white powder (CHCl₃), mp 136–137 °C. Lieberman-Burchard reaction was positive and 5% sulfuric acid-ethanol system was purple. TLC Rf of compound **6** and β -sitosterol reference substance was the same as the β -sitosterol reference substance. Two compounds were mixed that the melting point did not drop. Thus, compound **6** was identified as β -sitosterol.

Compound **7**: white needles crystal (MeOH), mp 190–192 °C. HR-ESI-MS showed a molecular ion at *m/z*: 373 [M + H]⁺, ¹H-NMR(MeOD) δ : 6.74 (1H, s, 3-H), 6.74 (1H, s, 5-H), 6.54 (1H, d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 7-H), 6.32 (1H, dt, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 8-H), 4.20 (1H, m, 9-H), 3.84 (3H, s, 10-H), 3.84 (3H, s, 11-H), 4.85 (1H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, Glc-1), 3.40 (1H, m, Glc-2), 3.38 (1H, m, Glc-3), 3.30 (1H, m, Glc-4), 3.28 (1H, m, Glc-5), 3.67 (3H, m, Glc-6). ¹³C-NMR (MeOD) δ : 135.3 (C-1), 154.4 (C-2), 105.5 (C-3), 135.9 (C-4), 62.6 (C-5), 154.4 (C-6), 131.3 (C-7), 130.0 (C-8), 105.5 (C-9), 57.0 (C-10), 57.0 (C-11), 100.8 (Glc-1), 73.4 (Glc-2), 76.9 (Glc-3), 70.1 (Glc-4), 76.7 (Glc-5), 61.1 (Glc-6). The spectral data were consistent with those of syringin. Thus, compound **7** was identified as syringin by comparison of ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data with the reported data (Wu et al, 1999).

Compound 8: white needles crystal (MeOH), mp 180-182 °C. HR-ESI-MS showed a molecular ion at *m/z*: 285

 $[M+H]^{+}$, ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD) δ : 4.21 (2H, dd, J = 6.0, 0.8 Hz, H-2), 3.44 (1H, m, 3-H), 5.44 (1H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H-4), 7.26 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-5), 6.48 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, H-6), 6.29 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-8), 6.44 (1H, s, H-2'), 6.79 (1H, s, H-5'), 5.87 (1H, dd, J = 1.2, 0.8 Hz, -O-CH₂-O-), ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD) δ : 67.4 (C-2), 41.6 (C-3), 80.0 (C-4), 133.1 (C-5), 110.7 (C-6), 160.1 (C-7), 104.1 (C-8), 158.0 (C-9), 112.9 (C-10), 119.8 (C-1'), 105.9 (C-2'), 143.1 (C-3'), 149.6 (C-4'), 94.2 (C-5'), 158.0 (C-6'), 102.4 (-O-CH₂-O-). The spectral data were consistent with those of maackiain. Thus, compound **8** was identified as maackiain by comparison of ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data with the reported data (Wang and Zou, 2008).

Compound **9**: yellow crystal (MeOH). HR-ESI-MS showed a molecular ion at m/z: 269 $[M + H]^+$. ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 8.31 (1H,s,H-2), 7.98(1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-5), 6.94 (1H, dd, J = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, H-6), 10.81 (1H, s, H-7), 6.87 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-8), 7.50 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2', 6'), 6.98 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3', 5'), 3.77 (3H, s, -OCH₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 153.1 (C-2), 123.2 (C-3), 174.5 (C-4), 127.3 (C-5), 115.2 (C-6), 162.6 (C-7), 102.1 (C-8), 157.4 (C-9), 116.6 (C-10), 125.8 (C-1'), 130.1 (C-2',6'), 113.6 (C-3',5'), 158.9 (C-4'), 55.1 (-OCH₃). The spectral data were consistent with those of formononetin. Thus, compound **9** was identified as formononetin by comparison of ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data with the reported data (Wang et al, 2008).

Compound **10**: yellow crystal (MeOH). HR-ESI-MS showed a molecular ion at *m/z*: 283 $[M + H]^+$. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ : 8.32 (1H, s, H-2), 7.97 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, H-5), 6.94 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, H-6), 10.79 (1H, s, H-7), 6.87 (1H, d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H-8), 7.14 (1H, d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, H-2'), 6.95 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, H-5'), 7.05 (1H, d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, H-6'), 6.03 (2H, s, -O-CH₂-O-). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ : 153.4 (C-2), 123.2 (C-3), 174.6 (C-4), 127.3 (C-5), 115.2 (C-6), 162.6 (C-7), 102.1 (C-8), 157.4 (C-9), 116.6 (C-10), 124.2 (C-1'), 109.4 (C-2'), 147.0 (C-3'), 146.9 (C-4'), 108.7 (C-5'), 122.4 (C-6'), 147.0 (-O-CH₂-O-). The spectral data were consistent with those of ψ -baptigenin. Thus, compound **10** was identified as ψ -baptigenin by comparison of ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data with the reported data (Gu and Zhou, 1988).

Compound **11:** white needles crystal (MeOH). HR-ESI-MS showed a molecular ion at m/z: 489 [M + H]⁺. ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 1.14, 0.69, 0.96, 0.78, 1.31 (each 3H, s, -CH₃), 0.92 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, -CH₃), 3.60 (1H, dd, J = 6.8, 10.8 Hz, H-3), 5.29 (1H, brs, H-12). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 39.5 (C-1), 27.2 (C-2), 78.1 (C-3), 42.7 (C-4), 48.8 (C-5), 19.3 (C-6), 33.7 (C-7), 41.0 (C-8), 48.4 (C-9), 37.9 (C-10), 24.7 (C-11), 129.4 (C-12), 140.0 (C-13), 43.1 (C-14), 29.6 (C-15), 26.6 (C-16), 48.6 (C-17), 55.1 (C-18), 73.6 (C-19), 43.3 (C-20), 27.1 (C-21), 39.1 (C-22), 67.5 (C-23), 12.7 (C-24), 17.5 (C-25), 16.6 (C-26), 24.9 (C-27), 182.3 (C-28), 27.3 (C-29), 16.2 (C-30). The spectral data were consistent with those of rotundic acid. Thus, compound **11** was identified as rotundic acid by comparison of ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data with the reported data (Ma et al, 2005).

Compound **12**: white needles crystal (MeOH). HR-ESI-MS showed a molecular ion at m/z: 651 [M + H]⁺. ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 1.29, 1.19, 0.97, 0.92, 0.77, 0.69 (each 3H, s, -CH₃), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, -CH₃), 3.56 (1H, dd, J = 5.60, 4.80 Hz, H-3), 5.30 (1H, brs, H-12), 4.59 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, Glc-1). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ : 39.4 (C-1), 26.5 (C-2), 74.1 (C-3), 39.6 (C-4), 48.8 (C-5), 17.7 (C-6), 33.5 (C-7), 41.0 (C-8), 48.4 (C-9), 37.9 (C-10), 24.7 (C-11), 129.7 (C-12), 139.6 (C-13), 42.7 (C-14), 29.6 (C-15), 27.1 (C-16), 48.8 (C-17), 54.8 (C-18), 73.7 (C-19), 43.3 (C-20), 27.0 (C-21), 41.2 (C-22), 67.6 (C-23), 12.6 (C-24), 17.6 (C-25), 17.5 (C-26), 26.4 (C-27), 178.6 (C-28), 27.2 (C-29), 16.1 (C-30), 95.8 (Glc-1), 74.1 (Glc-2), 78.6 (Glc-3), 71.1 (Glc-4), 78.3 (Glc-5), 62.4 (Glc-6). The spectral data were consistent with those of pedunculoside. Thus, compound **12** was identified as pedunculoside by comparison of ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data with the reported data (Wei and Chen, 1991).

Compound **13**: white powder (MeOH), mp 290–295 °C. Lieberman-Burchard and Molish reaction was positive and 5% sulfuric acid-ethanol system was purple. TLC Rf of compound **13** and daucosterol reference substance were the same. Two compounds were mixed that the melting point did not drop. Thus, compound **13** was identified as daucosterol.

References

- Editorial Board of Chinese Materia Medica in Guangdong, 1991. *Chinese Materia Medica in Guangdong*. Guangdong Science and Technology Press: Guangzhou.
- Gu SS, Zhou GK, 1988. Isolation and identification of isoflavone from *Radix Caragana* Intermedia Kuang. *Tradit Chin Med Bull* 13(5): 738-739.
- Li ZP, Gao S, Hao CS, Fan GM, 2000. Studies on constituents from the flower of *Albizzia julibrissin* Durazz. *China J Chin Mater Med* 25(2): 103-104.
- Ma WZ, Ling TJ, Zhang YH, Lin LD, 2005. Chemical constituents from *Nauclea officinalis*. J Trop Subtrop Bot 13(2): 167-170.
- Ren YL, Yang JS, 2000. Studies on chemical constituents of Saussures tridactyla I. Chin Pharm J 35(11): 736-738.
- State Administration of Editorial Board of Chinese Materia Medica, 1999. Chinese Materia Medica. Shanghai Science and Technology Press: Shanghai.
- Uchiyama T, Furukawa M, Isobe S, 2003. New oleanane-type triterpene saponins from *Millettia speciosa*. *Heterocycles* 60(3): 655-661.
- Wang CH, Wang Y, Wang GC, Ya J, Zhang XQ, Ye WC, 2008. Chemical constituents from roots of *Millettia speciosa*. *Chin Tradit Herb Drugs* 39(7): 972-975.
- Wei DX, Chen ZL, 1991. Studies on the chemical constituents of *Ilex rotunda* Thunb. (I). *Chin Tradit Herb Drugs* 22(6): 246-248.
- Wang Y, Zou ZM, 2008. Study on steroids from the stem of *Croton caudatus* Geisel.var. tomentosus Hook. Chin Pharm J 43(12): 897-899.
- Wu LJ, Shen Y, Guan J, Wu B, Zheng L, 1999. The NMR study of syringin. *Chin J Man Resonance* 16(5): 465-467.
- Yin T, Liang H, Wang B, Zhao Y, 2010. A new flavonol glycoside from *Millettia speciosa*. *Fitoterapia* 81(4): 274-275.
- Yin T, Tu G, Zhang Q, Wang B, Zhao Y, 2008. Three new phenolic glycosides from the caulis of *Millettia speciosa*. *Magn Reson Chem* 46(4): 387-391.
- Zhang HW, Ding G, Li RT, Wei JH, Zhou ZM, 2011. Isolation, identification and quantitative analysis of hypaphorine in the root of *Millettia speciosa* champ. *Chin J Pharm Anal* 31(6): 1024-1026.
- Zong XK, Lai FL, Wang ZN, Wang JR, 2009. Studies on the chemical constituents of roots of *Millettia speciosa*. J Chin Med Mater 32(4): 520-521.